arXiv:1608.07803v1 [math.AP] 28 Aug 2016

BOUNDARY EXPANSIONS FOR CONSTANT MEAN CURVATURE SURFACES IN THE HYPERBOLIC SPACE

QING HAN AND YUE WANG

Abstract. We study expansions near the boundary of solutions to the Dirichlet problem for the constant mean curvature equation in the hyperbolic space. With a characterization of remainders of the expansion by multiple integrals, we establish optimal asymptotic expansions of solutions with boundary values of finite regularity and demonstrate a slight loss of regularity for coefficients.

1. Introduction

Complete minimal hypersurfaces in the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ demonstrate similar properties as those in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ in the aspect of the interior regularity and different properties in the aspect of the boundary regularity. Anderson [1], [2] studied complete area-minimizing submanifolds and proved that, for any given closed embedded $(n-1)$-dimensional submanifold N at the infinity of $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$, there exists a complete area minimizing integral n-current which is asymptotic to N at infinity. In the case $n \leq 6$, these currents are embedded smooth submanifolds; while in the case $n \geq 7$, as in the Euclidean case, there can be closed singular set of Hausdorff dimension at most $n-7$. Hardt and Lin [16] discussed the C^1-boundary regularity of such hypersurfaces. Subsequently, Lin [22] studied the higher order boundary regularity. In a more general setting, Graham and Witten [12] studied n-dimensional minimal surfaces of any codimension in asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds and derived an expansion of the normalized area up to order $n+1$. Recently, Han and Jiang [14] studied the asymptotic expansions of minimal surfaces in the hyperbolic space and derived optimal estimates for the remainders in the context of the finite regularity.

It is natural to study constant mean curvature hypersurfaces in the hyperbolic space and investigate the differences and similarities between the nonzero mean curvature case and the zero mean curvature case. Tonegawa [29] discussed the constant mean curvature hypersurfaces in the hyperbolic space and established several regularity results near boundary. In this paper, we will study expansions of the constant mean curvature hypersurfaces in the hyperbolic space near boundary.

We first introduce the differential equation for constant mean curvature hypersurfaces in the hyperbolic space near infinity. Denote by $x = (x', x_n)$ points in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and consider

The first author acknowledges the support of NSF Grant DMS-1404596. The second author acknowledges the support of NSFC Grant NSFC11571019.

the function $u = u(x)$ in $B_1^+ \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

$$\Delta u - \frac{u_i u_j}{1+|Du|^2} u_{ij} - \frac{n}{x_n}(u_n - H\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}) = 0 \quad \text{in } B_1^+, \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$u = \varphi \quad \text{on } B_1', \tag{1.2}$$

where φ is a given function on B_1' and H a contant in B_1^+. The graph of u for $\{x_n > 0\}$ is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ with its mean curvature given by H and its asymptotic boundary given by the graph of φ.

In this paper, we discuss the boundary regularity of u by expanding u in terms of x_n. We discuss a general case and allow H to be a function in $\bar{B}_1^+$. We can write formal expansions for solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) in the following form:

$$u = \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i x^i + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{N_i} c_{i,j} x_n^i (\log x_n)^j,$$

where c_i and $c_{i,j}$ are functions of $x' \in B_1'$ and N_i is a nonnegative integer depending on i, with $N_{n+1} = 1$. A formal calculation can only determine *finitely many terms* in the formal expansion of u. In fact, the coefficients $c_0, c_1, \cdots, c_n$, and $c_{n+1,1}$ have explicit expressions in terms of φ and H. For example, we have

$$c_0 = \varphi,$$

and

$$c_1 = H_0 \sqrt{\frac{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2}{1-H_0^2}},$$

where $H_0 = H(\cdot, 0)$. In this paper, we always assume $|H| < 1$ in $\bar{B}_1^+$. See Section 2 for expressions of other c_i. We note that logarithmic terms usually appear in all dimensions, except in the dimension 2. In fact, for $n = 2$ and constant H, $c_{i,j} = 0$ for all $i \geq 3$ and $j \geq 1$. This was observed by Tonegawa [29].

We point out that the case of nonzero H is different from the case $H \equiv 0$. For $H \equiv 0$, we have, for n even,

$$u = \varphi + c_2 x_n^2 + c_4 x_n^4 + \cdots + c_n x_n^n + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} c_i x_n^i,$$

and, for n odd,

$$u = \varphi + c_2 x_n^2 + c_4 x_n^4 + \cdots + c_{n-1} x_n^{n-1} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{N_i} c_{i,j} x_n^i (\log x_n)^j.$$

We note that the odd powers i of x_n are absent for $i \leq n$ and $c_{n+1,1} = 0$ for n even. For nonzero H, all powers of x_n appear and $c_{n+1,1}$ is present in general except for $n = 2$ and constant H.

Logarithmic terms also appear in other problems, such as the singular Yamabe problem in [4], [24] and [27], the complex Monge-Ampère equations in [6], [8] and [20], and the

asymptotically hyperbolic Einstein metrics in [3], [5], [7] and [17]. In fact, Fefferman [8] observed that logarithmic terms should appear in the expansion.

Our goal in this paper is to discuss the relation between u and its formal expansions for boundary values of finite regularity and derive sharp estimates of remainders for the asymptotic expansions. We will also investigate the regularity property of nonlocal coefficients in the expansions.

Let $k \geq n+1$ be an integer and set

$$u_k = \varphi + c_1 x_n + c_2 x_n^2 + \cdots + c_n x_n^n + \sum_{i=n+1}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{i-1}{n}\right]} c_{i,j} x_n^i (\log x_n)^j, \tag{1.3}$$

where c_i and $c_{i,j}$ are functions of $x' \in B_1'$. We point out that the highest order in u_k is given by x_n^k. According to the pattern in this expansion, if we intend to continue to expand u_k, the next term has an order of $x_n^{k+1}(\log x_n)^{\left[\frac{k}{n}\right]}$. In these expansions, $c_{n+1,0}$ is the coefficient of the first global term and has no explicit expressions in terms of φ.

In this paper, we study the regularity and growth of the remainder $u - u_k$ by following Han and Jiang [14] closely. We will prove the following result. As mentioned earlier, H in (1.1) is a function in B_1^+ instead of a constant.

Theorem 1.1. *For some integer $k \geq n+1$ and some constant $\alpha \in (0,1)$, let $\varphi \in C^{k,\alpha}(B_1')$ and $H \in C^{k-1,\alpha}(\bar{B}_1^+)$ be given functions, with $|H| < 1$ in $\bar{B}_1^+$, and $u \in C(\bar{B}_1^+) \cap C^{k,\alpha}(B_1^+)$ be a solution of (1.1)-(1.2). Then, there exist functions c_i, $c_{i,j} \in C^{k-i,\epsilon}(B_1')$, for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, k$ and any $\epsilon \in (0,\alpha)$, such that, for u_k defined as in (1.3), for any $m = 0, 1, \cdots, k$, any $\epsilon \in (0,\alpha)$, and any $r \in (0,1)$,*

$$\partial_{x_n}^m (u - u_k) \in C^{\epsilon}(\bar{B}_r^+), \tag{1.4}$$

and, for any $(x', x_n) \in B_{1/2}^+$,

$$|\partial_{x_n}^m (u - u_k)(x', x_n)| \leq C x_n^{k-m+\alpha}, \tag{1.5}$$

for some positive constant C depending only on n, k, α, the L^∞-norm of u in B_1^+, the $C^{k,\alpha}$-norm of φ in B_1', and the $C^{k-1,\alpha}$-norm of H in $\bar{B}_1^+$. If, in addition, $c_{n+1,1} = 0$ on B_1', then $u \in C^{k,\epsilon}(\bar{B}_r^+)$ for any $r \in (0,1)$ and any $\epsilon \in (0,\alpha)$.

We note that the estimate (1.5) is optimal and that there is a slight loss of regularity of $c_{i,j}$ and $u - u_k$, for $i, k \geq n+1$. In fact, there is actually no loss of regularity for coefficients of local terms. If $\varphi \in C^{k,\alpha}(B_1')$ for some $k \geq 2$ and $\alpha \in (0,1)$, then $c_i \in C^{k-i,\alpha}(B_1')$, for $0 \leq i \leq \min\{k, n\}$, and $c_{n+1,1} \in C^{k-n-1,\alpha}(B_1')$ if $k \geq n+1$. Moreover, if $\varphi \in C^{k,\alpha}(B_1')$ for some $2 \leq k \leq n$ and $\alpha \in (0,1)$, then $u \in C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{B}_r^+)$ for any $r \in (0,1)$. (See Theorem 3.2 [29].)

As we see, $c_{n+1,1}$, the coefficient of the first logarithmic term in (1.3), is given by an expression involving derivatives of φ up to order $n+1$. The final part of Theorem 1.1 asserts that $c_{n+1,1} \neq 0$ is the obstacle to the higher regularity of solutions u. Theoretically, it is a routine process to calculate $c_{n+1,1}$. However, it is difficult to identify the geometric meaning of this quantity. For $n = 3$ and $H \equiv 0$, Han and Jiang [14] demonstrated that

$c_{4,1}$ is related to the Willmore functional. We will prove that $c_{3,1} \equiv 0$ for $n = 2$ and constant H in Section 2. As a consequence, for $n = 2$ and constant H, if $\varphi \in C^{k,\alpha}(B_1')$ for some integer $k \geq 3$ and some constant $\alpha \in (0,1)$, then $u \in C^{k,\epsilon}(\bar{B}_r^+)$ for any $r \in (0,1)$ and any $\epsilon \in (0,\alpha)$. (See also Theorem 4.1 [29].) We point out that there is a loss of regularity and it is not clear whether we have $u \in C^{k,\alpha}(\bar{B}_{1/2}^+)$.

If $\varphi \in C^\infty(B_1')$, then the estimate (1.5) holds for all $m \geq 0$, all $k \geq \max\{n+1, m\}$ and all $\alpha \in (0,1)$. In fact, a similar estimate holds for x'-derivatives of $u - u_k$. This implies in particular that u is *polyhomogeneous*. Refer to [4] or [27] for the definition of polyhomogeneity.

We finish the introduction with a brief outline of the paper. In Section 2, we provide a calculation to determine all the local terms in the formal expansion. In Section 3, we estimate the difference of the solution and its expansion involving all the local terms. The proof is based on the maximum principle. In Section 4, we prove the tangential smoothness of solutions near boundary by the maximum principle and scaled Schauder estimates. In Section 5, we study the regularity along the normal direction by rewriting the constant mean curvature equation as an ordinary differential equation along the normal direction.

2. Formal Expansions

In this section, we derive expansions for solutions of (1.1) and (1.2). We denote by $x = (x', x_n)$ points in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and set

$$Q(u) = \Delta u - \frac{u_i u_j}{1+|Du|^2} u_{ij} - \frac{n}{x_n}\big(u_n - H\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}\big). \tag{2.1}$$

In the following, we calculate the operator Q on polynomials of x_n. We set

$$u_* = c_0 + c_1 x_n + c_2 x_n^2 + \cdots + c_n x_n^n + c_{n+1,1} x_n^{n+1} \log x_n, \tag{2.2}$$

where $c_0, c_1, \cdots, c_n$ and $c_{n+1,1}$ are functions of x' to be determined, with

$$c_0 = \varphi.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Assume $\varphi \in C^\ell(B_1')$ and $H \in C^{\ell-1}(\bar{B}_1^+)$, with $|H| < 1$ in $\bar{B}_1^+$, for some $\ell \geq n+3$. Then, there exist $c_i \in C^{\ell-i}(B_1')$, for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, and $c_{n+1,1} \in C^{\ell-n-1}(B_1')$ such that, for u_* defined in* (2.2),

$$|Q(u_*)| \leq C x_n^n \log x_n^{-1},$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, the C^{n+3}-norm of φ in B_1' and the C^{n+2}-norm of H in B_1^+.

Proof. Set

$$H = H_0 + H_1 x_n + \cdots + H_n x_n^n + H_{n+1} x_n^{n+1} + \cdots,$$

where $H_0, H_1, \cdots, H_n, H_{n+1}$ are functions of x'. We now substitute u_* given by (2.2) and H above in Q and arrange $Q(u_*)$ in an ascending order of x_n. By requiring the

coefficient of x_n^{-1} to be zero in $Q(u_*)$, we have

$$c_1 = H_0\sqrt{\frac{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2}{1-H_0^2}}. \tag{2.3}$$

Then,

$$1+|D_{x'}c_0|^2+c_1^2 = \frac{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2}{1-H_0^2}.$$

For $i=2,\cdots,n$, by requiring the coefficient of x_n^{i-2} to be zero in $Q(u_*)$ successively, we have

$$c_i = \frac{1}{i(n+1-i)}F_i(c_0,\cdots,c_{i-1},H_0,\cdots,H_{i-1}), \tag{2.4}$$

where F_i is a smooth function in $\varphi, c_1,\cdots,c_{i-1},\ H_0,\cdots,H_{i-1}$ and their derivatives up to order 2. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} c_2 = \frac{1}{2(n-1)(1-H_0^2)}\Bigg[&\Delta_{x'}c_0 - \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n-1}\frac{\partial_\alpha c_0\partial_\beta c_0\partial_{\alpha\beta}c_0}{1+|D_{x'}c_0|^2+c_1^2} \\ &+\frac{(n-2)H_0D_{x'}c_0\cdot D_{x'}c_1}{1+|D_{x'}c_0|^2+c_1^2}+nH_1\sqrt{1+|D_{x'}c_0|^2}\Bigg]. \end{aligned}$$

We point out that the coefficient of $x_n^{n-1}\log x_n$ in $Q(u_*)$ equals 0. By requiring the coefficient of x_n^{n-1} to be zero in $Q(u_*)$, we have

$$c_{n+1,1} = \frac{1}{n+1}F_{n+1,1}(c_0,\cdots,c_n,H_0,\cdots,H_n), \tag{2.5}$$

where $F_{n+1,1}$ is a smooth function in $c_0,\cdots,c_n,H_0,\cdots,H_n$ and their derivatives up to order 2. The next term in $Q(u_*)$ is $x_n^n\log x_n$. □

The functions $c_1,\cdots,c_n$ and $c_{n+1,1}$ defined in (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) are functions of $x'\in B_1'$. We will refer to the corresponding terms as *local terms*. Next, we calculate $c_{3,1}$ for $n=2$ and constant H.

Proposition 2.2. *For $n=2$, if H is constant with $|H|<1$, then $c_{3,1}$ in (2.5) is zero.*

Proof. For $n=2$, the operator Q is given by

$$Q(u) = \Delta u - \frac{u_iu_j}{1+|Du|^2}u_{ij} - \frac{2}{x_2}\big(u_2 - H\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}\big).$$

We write $x=(x_1,x_2)$ and denote by w' the derivative of $w=w(x_1)$ with respect to x_1. Set

$$u_* = c_0 + c_1x_2 + c_2x_2^2 + c_{3,1}x_2^3\log x_2.$$

We need to calculate $F_{3,1}$ in the proof of Lemma 2.1. In fact, by a calculation as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= \varphi, \\ c_1 &= \frac{H}{\sqrt{1-H^2}}\sqrt{1+\varphi'^2}, \\ c_2 &= \frac{(1+\varphi'^2H^2)}{2(1-H^2)(1+\varphi'^2)}\varphi'', \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} c_{3,1} = -\frac{1}{3(1-H^2)}\Big[& c_1'' \\ & - \frac{1}{1+c_1^2+c_0'^2}(c_1''c_0'^2 + 2c_0''c_1'c_0' + 4c_1c_0'c_2' + 2c_1c_1'^2 + 4c_2c_0'c_1' + 8c_1c_2^2) \\ & + \frac{1}{(1+c_1^2+c_0'^2)^2}(4c_2c_1 + 2c_1'c_0')(c_0''c_0'^2 + 2c_1c_0'c_1' + 2c_1^2c_2) \\ & + H\bigg(\frac{4c_2^2 + 2c_0'c_2' + c_1'^2}{(1+c_1^2+c_0'^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{(2c_1c_2 + c_0'c_1')^2}{(1+c_1^2+c_0'^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\bigg)\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

By the expression of c_0, c_1 and c_2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_1' &= \frac{H}{\sqrt{1-H^2}}\frac{\varphi'\varphi''}{\sqrt{1+\varphi'^2}}, \\ c_1'' &= \frac{H}{\sqrt{1-H^2}}\bigg[\frac{\varphi''^2}{(\sqrt{1+\varphi'^2})^3} + \frac{\varphi'\varphi'''}{\sqrt{1+\varphi'^2}}\bigg], \\ c_2' &= \frac{1+\varphi'^2H^2}{2(1-H^2)(1+\varphi'^2)}\varphi''' - \frac{\varphi'\varphi''^2}{(1+\varphi'^2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We substitute these expression in $c_{3,1}$ and collect terms involving φ''' first and then φ''. We obtain $c_{3,1} = 0$ after a lengthy calculation. □

3. Estimates of Local Terms

In this section, we derive an estimate for an expansion involving all local terms by the maximum principle. For convenience, we write $t = x_n$ and denote by $x = (x', t)$ points in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Set Q as in (2.1), i.e.,

$$Q(u) = \Delta u - \frac{u_iu_j}{1+|Du|^2}u_{ij} - \frac{n}{t}\big(u_n - H\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}\big).$$

Throughout the paper, we always assume

$$|H| < 1 \quad \text{in } \bar{B}_1^+.$$

First, we derive a decay estimate by the maximum principle.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume $\varphi \in C^1(B'_1)$ and $H \in C(\bar{B}_1^+)$ with $|H| < 1$ in $\bar{B}_1^+$. Let $u \in C(\bar{B}_1^+)\bigcap C^2(B_1^+)$ be a solution of (1.1)-(1.2). Then, for any $(x',t) \in B'_{1/4} \times (0,1/4)$,*

$$|u-\varphi| \le Ct, \tag{3.1}$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, $|\varphi|_{C^1(B'_{3/4})}$, $|u|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)}$ and $|H|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)}$.

Proof. Take any $x'_0 \in B'_{1/4}$ and set $r_0 = 1/4$ and $\delta_0 = 1/4$. Then, $B'_{r_0}(x'_0)\times(0,\delta_0) \subset B^+_{3/4}$. Set

$$\overline{w}(x',t) = \varphi(x'_0) + At + B(|x'-x'_0|^2+t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where A and B are positive constants to be determined.

We now consider u on $\partial(B'_{r_0}(x'_0)\times(0,\delta_0))$. First,

$$u(x',0) = \varphi(x') \le \varphi(x'_0) + B|x'-x'_0| = \overline{w}(x',0) \quad \text{on } B'_{r_0}(x'_0),$$

if $B \ge |\varphi|_{C^1(B'_{1/2})}$. Next

$$u(x',t) \le \varphi(x'_0) + Br_0 \le \overline{w}(x',t) \quad \text{on } \partial B'_{r_0}(x'_0)\times(0,\delta_0),$$

if

$$B \ge r_0^{-1}\big(|u|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} + |\varphi|_{L^\infty(B'_{3/4})}\big).$$

Last,

$$u(x',\delta_0) \le \varphi(x'_0) + A\delta_0 \le \overline{w}(x',\delta_0),$$

if

$$A \ge \delta_0^{-1}\big(|u|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} + |\varphi|_{L^\infty(B'_{3/4})}\big).$$

Therefore, we set

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \max\{|\varphi|_{C^1(B'_{1/2})}, r_0^{-1}\big(|u|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} + |\varphi|_{L^\infty(B'_{3/4})}\big)\},\\ A &= \widetilde{A}\max\{B, \delta_0^{-1}\big(|u|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} + |\varphi|_{L^\infty(B'_{3/4})}\big)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{A} \ge 1$ is a constant to be determined. Therefore,

$$u \le \overline{w} \quad \text{on } \partial(B'_{r_0}(x'_0)\times(0,\delta_0)).$$

Next, we calculate $tQ(\overline{w})$. A straightforward calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{w}_n &= A + \frac{Bt}{(|x'-x'_0|^2+t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}},\\ \overline{w}_a &= \frac{B(x_a - x_{0a})}{(|x'-x'_0|^2+t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$t\overline{w}_{nn} = \frac{Bt}{(|x'-x_0'|^2+t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{Bt^3}{(|x'-x_0'|^2+t^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}},$$
$$t\overline{w}_{na} = -\frac{Bt^2(x_a-x_{0a})}{(|x'-x_0'|^2+t^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}},$$
$$t\overline{w}_{ab} = \frac{B\delta_{ab}t}{(|x'-x_0'|^2+t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{Bt(x_a-x_{0a})(x_b-x_{0b})}{(|x'-x_0'|^2+t^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

Write

$$-n\big(\overline{w}_n - H\sqrt{1+|D\overline{w}|^2}\big) = n\big(\sqrt{1+|D\overline{w}|^2}-\overline{w}_n\big) - n(1-H)\sqrt{1+|D\overline{w}|^2}.$$

Then,

$$tQ(\overline{w}) \le t|D^2\overline{w}| + n\big(\sqrt{1+|D\overline{w}|^2}-\overline{w}_n\big) - n(1-|H|)\sqrt{1+|D\overline{w}|^2}.$$

Note

$$1+|D\overline{w}|^2 \ge \overline{w}_n^2 \ge A^2,$$

and

$$\sqrt{1+|D\overline{w}|^2}-\overline{w}_n \le \sqrt{1+|D_{x'}\overline{w}|^2} \le \sqrt{1+B^2}.$$

Then,

$$tQ(\overline{w}) \le n^2B + n\sqrt{1+B^2} - nA(1-|H|).$$

Since $\sup|H|<1$, by taking A large or $\widetilde{A}$ large, we have

$$tQ(\overline{w}) \le 0 \quad \text{in } B'_{r_0}(x_0')\times(0,\delta_0).$$

By the maximum principle, we obtain

$$u(x',t) \le \overline{w}(x',t) \quad \text{in } B'_{r_0}(x_0')\times(0,\delta_0).$$

Evaluating at $x'=x_0'$, we get

$$u-\varphi \le At+Bt \quad \text{in } B'_{1/4}\times(0,\delta_0).$$

For the lower bound of u, we set

$$\underline{w}(x',x_n) = \varphi(x_0') - At - B(|x'-x_0'|^2+t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and proceed similarly. □

Next, we improve Lemma 3.1 under better assumptions of φ and H.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume $\varphi\in C^2(B_1')$ and $H\in C^1(\bar{B}_1^+)$, with $|H|<1$ in $\bar{B}_1^+$. Let $u\in C(\bar{B}_1^+)\bigcap C^2(B_1^+)$ be a solution of (1.1)-(1.2). Then, for any $(x',t)\in B'_{1/8}\times(0,\delta_0)$,*

$$|u-\varphi-c_1(x')t| \le Ct^{1+\varepsilon}, \tag{3.2}$$

where c_1 is given by (2.3), and δ_0, ε and C are positive constants depending only on n, $|\varphi|_{C^2(B'_{3/4})}$, $|u|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)}$ and $|H|_{C^1(B_1^+)}$.

Proof. Take any $x_0' \in B'_{1/8}$ and set $r_0 = 1/8$ and δ_0 small to be determined. Consider in $B'_{r_0}(x_0') \times (0, \delta_0)$. Set

$$\overline{w}(x', t) = \varphi(x') + c_1(x_0')t + At^{1+\varepsilon} + B(|x' - x_0'|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}t,$$

where A and B are positive constants to be determined. Obviously, $u(x', 0) = \overline{w}(x', 0)$. In order to have $u \le \overline{w}$ on $\partial(B'_{r_0}(x_0) \times (0, \delta_0))$, we require, by Lemma 3.1,

$$C_0 + |c_1|_{L^\infty(B'_{1/2})} \le Br_0^\varepsilon, \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$C_0 + |c_1|_{L^\infty(B'_{1/2})} \le A\delta_0^\varepsilon, \tag{3.4}$$

where C_0 is the constant in Lemma 3.1.

A straightforward calculation yields, for $a, b \ne n$,

$$\overline{w}_a = \partial_a\varphi + B\varepsilon\frac{(x_a - x_{0a})t}{(|x' - x_0'|^2 + t^2)^{1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}},$$

$$\overline{w}_n = c_1(x_0') + (1+\varepsilon)At^\varepsilon + B(|x' - x_0'|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} + B\varepsilon\frac{t^2}{(|x' - x_0'|^2 + t^2)^{1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}},$$

and

$$t\overline{w}_{ab} = \partial_{ab}\varphi t + B\varepsilon\frac{\delta_{ab}t^2}{(|x' - x_0'|^2 + t^2)^{1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} + B\varepsilon(-2+\varepsilon)\frac{(x_b - x_{0b})(x_a - x_{0a})t^2}{(|x' - x_0'|^2 + t^2)^{2-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}},$$

$$t\overline{w}_{na} = B\varepsilon\frac{(x_a - x_{0a})t}{(|x' - x_0'|^2 + t^2)^{1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} + B\varepsilon(-2+\varepsilon)\frac{(x_a - x_{0a})t^3}{(|x' - x_0'|^2 + t^2)^{2-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}},$$

$$t\overline{w}_{nn} = \varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)At^\varepsilon + B\varepsilon\frac{3t^2}{(|x' - x_0'|^2 + t^2)^{1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} + B\varepsilon(-2+\varepsilon)\frac{t^4}{(|x' - x_0'|^2 + t^2)^{2-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}.$$

In analyzing $Q(\overline{w})$, we first consider the terms involving the second derivatives of $\overline{w}$. We note that $\overline{w}_a$, $\overline{w}_{ab}$ and $\overline{w}_{an}$ do not involve A, and

$$\frac{|\partial_i\overline{w}\partial_j\overline{w}|}{1 + |D\overline{w}|^2} \le \frac{1}{2}.$$

By considering $\partial_{nn}\overline{w}$ separately, we have

$$t\left[\Delta\overline{w} - \frac{\overline{w}_i\overline{w}_j\overline{w}_{ij}}{1 + |D\overline{w}|^2}\right] \le Ct + CB\varepsilon t^\varepsilon + \varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)At^\varepsilon, \tag{3.5}$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, $|\varphi|_{C^2}$.

Next, we claim, by choosing A and δ_0 appropriately,

$$\begin{aligned} &-\overline{w}_n + H\sqrt{1 + |D\overline{w}|^2} \\ &\quad\le -(1 - |H|)\left[(1+\varepsilon)At^\varepsilon + B(|x' - x_0'|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} + B\varepsilon\frac{t^2}{(|x' - x_0'|^2 + t^2)^{1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}\right] \\ &\qquad + Ct + CB\varepsilon t^\varepsilon + C|x' - x_0'|, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, $|u|_{L^\infty}$, $|\varphi|_{C^2}$ and $|H|_{C^1}$. To prove this, we consider two cases $H > 0$ and $H < 0$ separately. Set

$$D = (1+\varepsilon)At^\varepsilon + B(|x'-x_0'|^2+t^2)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} + B\varepsilon\frac{t^2}{(|x'-x_0'|^2+t^2)^{1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}.$$

Then,

$$\overline{w}_n = c_1(x_0') + D,$$

and we need to prove

$$H\sqrt{1+|D\overline{w}|^2} \le c_1(x_0') + |H|D + Ct + CB\varepsilon t^\varepsilon + C|x'-x_0'|. \tag{3.7}$$

Case 1. We assume $H(x',t) \ge 0$. Note

$$\begin{aligned} 1+|D\overline{w}|^2 &\le 1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2 + c_1^2(x_0') + D^2 + 2Dc_1(x_0') + CB\varepsilon t^\varepsilon \\ &\le 1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2 + c_1^2(x') + C|x'-x_0'| + 2\sqrt{\frac{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2}{1-H_0^2}}(x_0')D + D^2 + CB\varepsilon t^\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.3), we have

$$1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2 + c_1^2 = \frac{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2}{1-H_0^2},$$

and

$$|c_1(x_0')| = |H_0(x_0')|\sqrt{\frac{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2}{1-H_0^2}}(x_0') < \sqrt{\frac{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2}{1-H_0^2}}(x_0').$$

Then,

$$1+|D\overline{w}|^2 \le \left(\sqrt{\frac{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2}{1-H_0^2}}(x_0') + D + C|x'-x_0'| + CB\varepsilon t^\varepsilon\right)^2,$$

and hence

$$\sqrt{1+|D\overline{w}|^2} \le \sqrt{\frac{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2}{1-H_0^2}}(x_0') + D + C|x'-x_0'| + CB\varepsilon t^\varepsilon.$$

We now multiply by H and write H in the first term in the right-hand side as

$$H = H_0(x_0') + (H - H_0(x_0')).$$

We have (3.7) by adjusting C.

Case 2. Assume $H(x',t) < 0$. Set $H = -\widetilde{H}$. We need to prove

$$-c_1(x_0') - \widetilde{H}D \le \widetilde{H}\sqrt{1+|D\overline{w}|^2} + C|x'-x_0'| + CB\varepsilon t^\varepsilon + Ct.$$

Similarly as in Case 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}1+|D\overline{w}|^2 &\geq 1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2+c_1^2(x')-C|x'-x_0'|+2c_1(x_0')D+D^2-CB\varepsilon t^{\varepsilon}\\ &\geq \frac{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2}{1-H_0^2}(x_0')-2|H_0(x_0')|\sqrt{\frac{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2}{1-H_0^2}(x_0')}D\\ &\quad +D^2-C|x'-x_0'|-CB\varepsilon t^{\varepsilon}.\end{aligned}$$

Write

$$-|H_0(x_0')|=-1+(1-|H_0(x_0')|),$$

and note

$$(1-|H_0(x_0')|)\sqrt{\frac{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2}{1-H_0^2}(x_0')}\geq(1-|H|_{L^\infty}).$$

Hence,

$$1+|D\overline{w}|^2\geq\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2}{1-H_0^2}(x_0')}-D\right)^2+(1-|H|_{L^\infty})D-C|x'-x_0'|-CB\varepsilon t^{\varepsilon}.$$

By

$$D\geq B(|x'-x_0'|^2+t^2)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}},$$

and choosing ε small and B large such that

$$\begin{aligned}C\varepsilon &< \frac{1}{2}(1-|H|_{L^\infty}),\\ C &< \frac{1}{2}B(1-|H|_{L^\infty}),\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

we have

$$\sqrt{1+|D\overline{w}|^2}\geq\sqrt{\frac{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2}{1-H_0^2}(x_0')}-D.$$

We now multiply by $\widetilde{H}=\widetilde{H}+H(x_0',0)-H(x_0',0)$. Then

$$-c_1(x_0')-\widetilde{H}D-Ct-C|x'-x_0'|\leq\widetilde{H}\sqrt{1+|D\overline{w}|^2}.$$

We have (3.7) by (2.3) and by adjusting C.

By combining (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}tQ(\overline{w})\leq{}& Ct+CB\varepsilon t^{\varepsilon}+C|x'-x_0'|-n(1-|H|)B(|x'-x_0'|^2+t^2)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\\ &+(1+\varepsilon)At^{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon-n(1-|H|)).\end{aligned}$$

Requiring δ_0, ε small and B large such that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0 &\ll 1, \\ C\varepsilon &< \frac{1}{4}n(1-|H|_{L^\infty}), \\ C &< \frac{1}{4}Bn(1-|H|_{L^\infty}), \\ \varepsilon &< \frac{1}{2}n(1-|H|_{L^\infty}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

then we have $tQ(\overline{w}) \le 0$.

In conclusion, we choose $\varepsilon, B, \delta_0, A$, successively such that they satisfy (3.3), (3.4), (3.8) and (3.9). We now apply the maximum principle to conclude

$$u \le \overline{w} \quad \text{in } B'_{r_0}(x'_0) \times (0, \delta_0).$$

For the lower bound, we set

$$\underline{w}(x',t) = \varphi(x') + c_1(x'_0)t - At^{1+\varepsilon} - B(|x'-x'_0|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}t,$$

and proceed similarly. Then,

$$u \ge \underline{w} \quad \text{in } B'_{r_0}(x'_0) \times (0, \delta_0).$$

Hence,

$$|u - \varphi - c_1(x'_0)t| \le At^{1+\varepsilon} + B(|x'-x'_0|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}t.$$

By taking $x' = x'_0$, we obtain the desired result. □

We now improve Lemma 3.2 under the same assumption.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume $\varphi \in C^2(B'_1)$ and $H \in C^1(\bar{B}^+_1)$, with $|H| < 1$ in $\bar{B}^+_1$. Let $u \in C(\bar{B}^+_1) \bigcap C^2(B^+_1)$ be a solution of (1.1)-(1.2). Then, for any $(x',t) \in B'_{r_1} \times (0, \delta_1)$,*

$$|u - \varphi - c_1 t| \le Ct^2,$$

where c_1 is given by (2.3), and r_1, δ_1 and C are positive constants depending only on n, $|\varphi|_{C^2(B'_{3/4})}$, $|u|_{L^\infty(B^+_1)}$ and $|H|_{C^1(B^+_1)}$.

Proof. Take any $x'_0 \in B'_{r_1}$ and let r_1, δ_1 be small positive constants to be determined. Set

$$D = B'_{r_1}(x'_0) \times (0, \delta_1).$$

Take ε as in Lemma 3.2. Set

$$r_1 = \delta_1^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}, \tag{3.10}$$

and take δ_1 small so that $r_1 < 1/16$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} v(x',t) &= \varphi(x') + c_1(x'_0)t, \\ \phi(x',t) &= At^2 + Bt(t^2 + |x'-x'_0|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\overline{w} = v + \phi.$$

We will prove $u \le \overline{w}$ in D.

Consider on ∂D. Obviously, $\overline{w}(x',0) = \varphi(x') = u(x',0)$. Since c_1 is C^1 in x', we have

$$\overline{w}(x',t) \ge \varphi(x') + c_1(x')t - C|x'-x_0'|t + At^2 + B|x'-x_0'|t.$$

By requiring B large such that

$$B > 2C, \tag{3.11}$$

we have

$$\overline{w}(x',t) \ge \varphi(x') + c_1(x')t + At^2 + \frac{B}{2}|x'-x_0'|t.$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{w}(x',\delta_1) \ge \varphi(x') + c_1(x')\delta_1 + A\delta_1^2 \ge u(x',\delta_1), \tag{3.12}$$

if $A\delta_1^2 \ge C_0\delta_1^{1+\varepsilon}$, where C_0 is as in (3.2). Set $\widetilde{A}$ to be determined and require

$$\widetilde{A} > C_0. \tag{3.13}$$

Take $A = \widetilde{A}\delta_1^{-(1-\varepsilon)}$. Then, (3.12) holds. On $\partial B'_{r_1}(x_0') \times (0,\delta_1)$,

$$\overline{w}(x',t) \ge \varphi(x') + c_1(x')t + \frac{1}{2}Br_1t \ge u(x',t), \tag{3.14}$$

if $Br_1t/2 \ge C_0t^{1+\varepsilon}$, by (3.2). Using (3.10), we require

$$B \ge 2C_0\delta_1^{-\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}}, \tag{3.15}$$

so that (3.14) holds. Set $\widetilde{B}$ to be determined and require

$$\widetilde{B} > 2C_0 + 2C. \tag{3.16}$$

Take $B = \widetilde{B}\delta_1^{-\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}}$. Then, (3.11) and (3.15) are satisfied. In fact, by taking

$$\widetilde{A} = \widetilde{B},$$

we have (3.11), (3.13) and (3.15). Now $B = \widetilde{A}\delta_1^{-\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}}$ and $\widetilde{A}$ is a large positive constant to be determined. Hence,

$$\frac{B}{A} = \delta_1^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}} \tag{3.17}$$

is small when δ_1 is small.

Next, we calculate $tQ(\overline{w})$. We write

$$tQ(\overline{w}) = tQ(v) + D_1 + D_2, \tag{3.18}$$

where

$$D_1 = t\bigg(\Delta\phi - \frac{(v_i+\phi_i)(v_j+\phi_j)}{1+|D(v+\phi)^2|}\phi_{ij} - \bigg[\frac{(v_i+\phi_i)(v_j+\phi_j)}{1+|D(v+\phi)|^2} - \frac{v_iv_j}{1+|Dv|^2}\bigg]v_{ij}\bigg),$$

and

$$D_2 = -n\partial_n\phi + nH\big(\sqrt{1+|D(v+\phi)|^2} - \sqrt{1+|Dv|^2}\big).$$

By the choice of c_1, a simple calculation yields

$$tQ(v) \le C(t + |x' - x_0'|). \tag{3.19}$$

A straightforward calculation yields, for $a, b \neq n$.

$$\partial_a \phi = \frac{Bt(x_a - x_{0a})}{(t^2 + |x' - x_0'|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

$$\partial_n \phi = 2At + B(t^2 + |x' - x_0'|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{Bt^2}{(t^2 + |x' - x_0'|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

and

$$t\partial_{ab}\phi = \frac{B\delta_{ab}t^2}{(t^2 + |x' - x_0'|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{Bt^2(x_a - x_{0a})(x_b - x_{0b})}{(t^2 + |x' - x_0'|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}},$$

$$t\partial_{an}\phi = \frac{Bt(x_a - x_{0a})}{(t^2 + |x' - x_0'|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{Bt^3(x_a - x_{0a})}{(t^2 + |x' - x_0'|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}},$$

$$t\partial_{nn}\phi = 2At + \frac{3Bt^2}{(t^2 + |x' - x_0'|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{Bt^4}{(t^2 + |x' - x_0'|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

Hence, we have

$$|\partial_a \phi| \le \widetilde{A}\delta_1^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}, \tag{3.20}$$

and

$$|\partial_n \phi| \le 4\widetilde{A}\delta_1^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} + 2\widetilde{A}\delta_1^{\varepsilon}. \tag{3.21}$$

Let

$$\widetilde{A} = \delta_1^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}.$$

In the following, we always assume δ_1 is small. Then, (3.13) and (3.16) are satisfied and

$$|D\phi| \le C\delta_1^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \ll 1. \tag{3.22}$$

By the Taylor expansion, we have

$$\sqrt{1 + |D(v+\phi)|^2} - \sqrt{1 + |Dv|^2} = \frac{Dv \cdot D\phi + |D\phi|^2/2}{\sqrt{1 + |Dv|^2}} + O\bigg(\frac{(Dv \cdot D\phi + |D\phi|^2)^2}{(1 + |Dv|^2)^{3/2}}\bigg).$$

Note

$$1 + |Dv|^2 = 1 + |D_{x'}\varphi|^2 + c_1^2(x_0') = \frac{1 + |D_{x'}\varphi|^2}{1 - H_0^2}(x_0') + O(|x' - x_0'|).$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\partial_n v \partial_n \phi}{\sqrt{1 + |Dv|^2}} = \partial_n \phi H_0(x_0') + O(|\partial_n \phi||x' - x_0'|).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sqrt{1 + |D(v+\phi)|^2} - \sqrt{1 + |Dv|^2} \\ &\quad = \partial_n \phi H_0(x_0') + O(|D_{x'}\phi|) + O(|\partial_n \phi||x' - x_0') + O(|D\phi|^2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

We also have

$$1-\frac{(v_n+\phi_n)^2}{1+|D(v+\phi)|^2}=\frac{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2(x')}{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2(x')+c_1^2(x_0')}+O(|D\phi|) \tag{3.24}$$
$$=1-H_0^2(x')+O(|D\phi|+|x'-x_0'|),$$

Note $v_{ij}\neq 0$ only if $i,j\neq n$. By (3.24) and $|D_{ai}\phi|\leq 2B$, we have

$$D_1\leq 2At\big(1-H_0^2(x_0')+C|D\phi|+C|x'-x_0'|\big)+CBt.$$

By (3.23), we get

$$\begin{aligned}D_2&\leq -n\partial_n\phi\big(1-HH_0(x_0')-(C|x'-x_0'|+C|D\phi|)\big)\\&\leq -n(2At+B(t^2+|x'-x_0'|^2)^{\frac12}\\&\quad+\frac{Bt^2}{(t^2+|x'-x_0'|^2)^{\frac12}}\big(1-H_0^2(x_0')-(C|x'-x_0'|+Ct+C|D\phi|)\big)\\&\leq 2At\big(-n(1-H_0^2(x_0'))+C|x'-x_0'|+Ct+C|D\phi|\big)\\&\quad+B(t^2+|x'-x_0'|^2)^{\frac12}\big(-n(1-H_0^2(x_0'))+C|x'-x_0'|+Ct+C|D\phi|\big).\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}D_1+D_2&\leq 2At\{(1-H_0^2(x_0'))(1-n)+C|D\phi|+C|x'-x_0'|+Ct\}\\&\quad+B|x'-x_0'|\big(-\frac{n}{2}(1-H_0^2(x_0'))\big)+CBt.\end{aligned}$$

Choose δ_1 small. Then by definitions of A,B and (3.10), (3.22), (3.19) and (3.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}tQ(\overline{w})&\leq 2At\big((1-H_0^2(x_0'))(1-n)+C\delta_1^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\big)+B|x'-x_0'|\big(-\frac{n}{2}(1-H_0^2(x_0'))+C\delta_1^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}}\big)\\&\leq 2At\big((1-|H|_{L^\infty})(1-n)+C\delta_1^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\big)+B|x'-x_0'|\big(-\frac{n}{2}(1-|H|_{L^\infty}^2)+C\delta_1^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Then we have, for small δ,

$$Q(\overline{w})=Q(v+\phi)\leq 0\quad\text{in } D.$$

By the maximum principle, we get

$$u\leq v+\phi\leq\varphi(x')+c_1(x_0')t+At^2+B(t^2+|x'-x_0'|^2)^{\frac12}t.$$

For the lower bound, we consider $v-\phi$ and, by proceeding similarly, we get

$$u\geq\varphi(x')+c_1(x_0')t-At^2-B(t^2+|x'-x_0'|^2)^{\frac12}t.$$

By taking $x'=x_0'$, we have the desired result. □

Next, we prove an estimate for an expansion of solutions involving all the local terms by the maximum principle. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.3.

Theorem 3.4. *Assume $\varphi \in C^{n+3}(B_1')$ and $H \in C^{n+2}(\bar{B}_1^+)$, with $|H| < 1$ in $\bar{B}_1^+$. Let $u \in C(\bar{B}_1^+) \bigcap C^{n+3}(B_1^+)$ be a solution of (1.1)-(1.2). Then, for any $(x', t) \in B'_{1/16} \times (0, \delta)$,*

$$|u - \varphi - c_1 t - c_2 t^2 - \cdots - c_n t^n - c_{n+1,1} t^{n+1} \log t| \le C t^{n+1}, \tag{3.25}$$

where $c_1, \cdots, c_n$ and $c_{n+1,1}$ are functions in B_1' as given in Lemma 2.1, and δ and C are positive constants depending only on n, $|\varphi|_{C^{n+3}(B_1')}$, $|u|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)}$ and $|H|_{C^{n+2}(B_1^+)}$.

Proof. Take any $x_0' \in B'_{1/16}$ and δ a small positive constant to be determined. Consider in $D = B'_{\sqrt{\delta}}(x_0') \times (0, \delta)$. Set

$$v(x', t) = c_0(x') + c_1(x')t + c_2(x')t^2 + \cdots + c_n(x')t^n + c_{n+1,1}(x')t^{n+1} \log t,$$

and

$$\phi(x', t) = A(|x' - x_0'|^2 + t)^{n+1} - A(|x' - x_0'|^2 + t)^q.$$

We will prove $u \le v + \phi$.

For convenience, we write

$$f = |x' - x_0'|^2 + t.$$

Hence, $\phi = Af^{n+1} - Af^q$ and $f \le 2\delta$ in D. A straightforward calculation yields, for $a, b \ne n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_a \phi &= 2(n+1)Af^n(x_a' - x_{0a}') - 2qAf^{q-1}(x_a' - x_{0a}'),\\
\partial_n \phi &= (n+1)Af^n - qAf^{q-1},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{ab}\phi &= 4n(n+1)Af^{n-1}(x_a' - x_{0a}')(x_b' - x_{0b}') + 2(n+1)Af^n\delta_{ab}\\
&\quad - 4q(q-1)Af^{q-2}(x_a' - x_{0a}')(x_b' - x_{0b}') - 2qAf^{q-1}\delta_{ab},\\
\partial_{an}\phi &= 2(n+1)nAf^{n-1}(x_a' - x_{0a}') - 2q(q-1)Af^{q-2}(x_a' - x_{0a}'),\\
\partial_{nn}\phi &= (n+1)nAf^{n-1} - q(q-1)Af^{q-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let ε be the constant in Lemma 3.2. Choose q such that

$$n + 1 < q < n + 1 + \min\{1/2, \varepsilon\}. \tag{3.26}$$

We first note

$$u \le v + \phi \quad \text{on } \partial D.$$

This is obviously true on $t = 0$. For other parts of ∂D, by Lemma 3.2, we need to require

$$\frac{C}{\delta^{n-\varepsilon}} = A,$$

where C is a constant depending only on n, $|\varphi|_{C^{n+1}(B_1^+)}$, $|u|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)}$ and $|H|_{C^n(B_1^+)}$. We also have

$$|D\phi| \le CAf^n \le C\frac{f^n}{\delta^{n-\varepsilon}} \le Cf^\varepsilon. \tag{3.27}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} 1+|D(v+\phi)|^2 &= 1+|Dv|^2+2Dv\cdot D\phi+|D\phi|^2 \\ &= 1+|Dv|^2+O(Af^n) \\ &= 1+c_1^2+|D_{x'}c_0|^2+O(x_n)+O(Af^n) \\ &= 1+c_1^2+|D_{x'}c_0|^2+O(f^\epsilon). \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Next, we write

$$tQ(v+\phi) = tQ(v)+D_1+D_2, \tag{3.29}$$

where

$$D_1 = t\bigg(\Delta\phi - \frac{(v_i+\phi_i)(v_j+\phi_j)}{1+|D(v+\phi)^2|}\phi_{ij} - \bigg[\frac{(v_i+\phi_i)(v_j+\phi_j)}{1+|D(v+\phi)|^2}-\frac{v_iv_j}{1+|Dv|^2}\bigg]v_{ij}\bigg),$$

and

$$D_2 = -n\partial_n\phi + nH\big(\sqrt{1+|D(v+\phi)|^2}-\sqrt{1+|Dv|^2}\big).$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$|tQ(v)| \le Ct^{n+1}\log t^{-1} \le Ct^{n+\frac12}. \tag{3.30}$$

We need to estimate terms in $tQ(v+\phi)$ involving A. We consider D_1 first. By (3.27), each $D\phi$ has the order of Af^n, which is also bounded by f^ε by the choice of A. Then,

$$\bigg|\frac{(v_i+\phi_i)(v_j+\phi_j)}{1+|D(v+\phi)|^2}-\frac{v_iv_j}{1+|Dv|^2}\bigg| \le CAf^n.$$

Next, for the second derivatives of ϕ, we first have

$$|\partial_{an}\phi|+|\partial_{ab}\phi| \le CA(f^n+f^{n-1}|x'|) \le CAf^{n-\frac12}.$$

The coefficient of $\partial_{nn}\phi$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 1-\frac{(v_n+\phi_n)^2}{1+|D(v+\phi)|^2} &= 1-\frac{c_1^2+O(t)+O(Af^n)}{1+c_1^2+|D_{x'}c_0|^2+O(t)+O(Af^n)} \\ &= 1-H_0^2+O(f^\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where we used (2.3). Therefore,

$$D_1 \le t\big[\big(1-H_0^2+Cf^\varepsilon\big)\big((n+1)nAf^{n-1}-q(q-1)Af^{q-2}\big)+CAf^{n-\frac12}\big],$$

and hence

$$D_1 \le t(1-H_0^2)\big[(n+1)nAf^{n-1}-q(q-1)Af^{q-2}\big]+CAf^{n+\frac12}+CAf^{n+\varepsilon}.$$

By $t = f-|x'-x_0'|^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 \le{}& (1-H_0^2)\big[(n+1)nAf^n-q(q-1)Af^{q-1}\big]+CAf^{n+\frac12}+CAf^{n+\varepsilon} \\ &-(1-H_0^2)Af^{n-1}\big[n(n+1)-q(q-1)f^{q-n-1}\big]|x'-x_0'|^2. \end{aligned}$$

As long as f is small, we obtain

$$D_1 \le (1-H_0^2)\big[(n+1)nAf^n-q(q-1)Af^{q-1}\big]+CAf^{n+\frac12}+CAf^{n+\varepsilon}. \tag{3.31}$$

Next, we discuss D_2. By writing

$$1+|D(v+\phi)|^2 = 1+|Dv|^2+2Dv\cdot D\phi+|D\phi|^2,$$

we have

$$\sqrt{1+|D(v+\phi)|^2} = \sqrt{1+|Dv|^2}+\frac{Dv\cdot D\phi+|D\phi|^2/2}{\sqrt{1+|Dv|^2}}+O\bigg(\frac{(Dv\cdot D\phi+|D\phi|^2)^2}{(1+|Dv|^2)^{3/2}}\bigg).$$

Note $D_{x'}\phi = O(Af^n|x'|)$ and $|D\phi|^2 = O(Af^{n+\varepsilon})$. Then,

$$\sqrt{1+|D(v+\phi)|^2} = \sqrt{1+|Dv|^2}+\frac{c_1\partial_n\phi}{\sqrt{1+|Dv|^2}}+O(Af^{n+\varepsilon})+O(Af^{n+\frac12}).$$

Hence,

$$D_2 = -n\partial_n\phi\bigg(1-\frac{Hc_1}{\sqrt{1+|Dv|^2}}\bigg)+O(Af^{n+\varepsilon})+O(Af^{n+\frac12}).$$

By $1+|Dv|^2 = 1+|D_{x'}c_0|^2+c_1^2+O(t)$ and (2.3), we have

$$D_2 \le -n\big(1-H_0^2+Ct\big)\big((n+1)Af^n-qAf^{q-1}\big)+CAf^{n+\varepsilon}+CAf^{n+\frac12},$$

and hence

$$D_2 \le -n(1-H_0^2)\big[(n+1)Af^n-qAf^{q-1}\big]+CAf^{n+\varepsilon}+CAf^{n+\frac12}. \tag{3.32}$$

By combining (3.31) and (3.32), we obtain

$$D_1+D_2 \le -q(q-n-1)(1-H_0^2)Af^{q-1}+CAf^{n+\varepsilon}+CAf^{n+\frac12},$$

and hence, with the help of (3.29) and (3.30),

$$tQ(v+\phi) \le -q(q-n-1)(1-H_0^2)Af^{q-1}+CAf^{n+\varepsilon}+CAf^{n+\frac12}.$$

By the choice of q in (3.26), we have, for small δ,

$$Q(v+\phi)\le 0 \quad \text{in } D.$$

By the maximum principle, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u \le v+\phi \le \varphi(x')+c_1(x')t+c_2(x')t^2+\cdots+c_n(x')t^n \\ +c_{n+1,1}(x')t^{n+1}\log t+A(|x'-x_0'|^2+t)^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

For the lower bound, we consider $v-\phi$ and, by proceeding similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} u \ge \varphi(x')+c_1(x')t+c_2(x')t^2+\cdots+c_n(x')t^n \\ +c_{n+1,1}(x')t^{n+1}\log t-A(|x'-x_0'|^2+t)^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking $x'=x_0'$, we have the desired result. □

4. The Tangential Regularity

In the present section, we study the regularity along tangential directions.

Let $u \in C(\bar{B}_1^+) \bigcap C^2(B_1^+)$ be a solution of (1.1) and (1.2). Set

$$v(x',t) = u(x',t) - \varphi(x') - c_1(x')t, \tag{4.1}$$

where c_1 is given by (2.3). We write (1.1) as

$$A_{ij}(Du)u_{ij} - \frac{n}{t}(u_n - H\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}) = 0 \quad \text{in } B_1^+, \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$A_{ij}(p) = \delta_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{1+|p|^2}.$$

In particular,

$$A_{nn} = \frac{1+|D_{x'}v + D_{x'}\varphi + D_{x'}c_1 t|^2}{1+|D_{x'}v + D_{x'}\varphi + D_{x'}c_1 t|^2 + (v_n + c_1)^2}. \tag{4.3}$$

These expressions will be needed later on.

It is natural to write an equation for v. However, there are drawbacks using the equation for v directly. We note that the regularity of v is one degree worse than that of φ by the expression of c_1 in (2.3). If φ is C^2, then v is only C^1 and hence there is no equation for v. Second, even if φ is at least C^3 and we can write an equation for v, the derivatives of c_1 result in expressions with worse regularity in this equation. In this way, we are unable to get optimal estimate for u or v. In the following, we will modify the definition of v in (4.1) and, instead of the function $c_1(x')$, we use its value $c_1(x_0')$ at some point x_0'. Similar methods were used in the proof of Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3.

We first prove a gradient estimate under the assumptions of Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume $\varphi \in C^2(B_1')$ and $H \in C^1(\bar{B}_1^+)$, with $|H| < 1$ in $\bar{B}_1^+$. Let $u \in C(\bar{B}_1^+) \bigcap C^2(B_1^+)$ be a solution of (1.1)-(1.2) and v be defined as in (4.1). Then, there exists a constant $r \in (0,1)$, such that for any $(x',t) \in B_r' \times (0,r)$,*

$$|Dv| \le Ct, \tag{4.4}$$

where r and C are positive constants depending only on n, $|\varphi|_{C^2(B_{3/4}')}$, $|u|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)}$, and $|H|_{C^1(B_1^+)}$. Moreover, $u \in C^{1,\beta}(\bar{B}_r' \times [0,r])$, for any $\beta \in (0,1)$, and $v \in C^1(\bar{B}_r' \times [0,r])$. In addition, if $\varphi \in C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{B}_1')$ and $H \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{B}_1')$ for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$, then $v \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{B}_r' \times [0,r])$.

Proof. Take any $(x_0', t_0) \in B_r' \times (0,r)$ for some positive $r < \frac{1}{2}\min\{r_1, \delta_1\}$ and set $t_0 = 2\delta$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\varphi(x_0') = 0$. Then, by Lemma 3.3,

$$|u| \le |v| + |\varphi - \varphi(x_0')| + |\varphi(x_0')| + |c_1 t| \le C(t + |x' - x_0'|), \tag{4.5}$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on C_0, $|\varphi|_{C^1}$ and $|H|_{L^\infty}$. With $e_n = (0,1)$, consider the transform $T : B_1(e_n) \to B_{2r}' \times (0,2r)$, given by

$$x' = x_0' + \delta z', \quad t = \delta(s+1).$$

Set

$$\widetilde{v}(x',t) = u(x',t) - \varphi(x') - c_1(x_0')t, \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\widetilde{v}^\delta(z',s) = \delta^{-1}\widetilde{v}(x',t), \quad u^\delta(z',s) = \delta^{-1}u(x',t).$$

We emphasize that $\widetilde{v}$ here is not the function v defined in (4.1). Then,

$$|\widetilde{v}^\delta|_{L^\infty(B_1(e_n))} \le \delta^{-1}(Ct^2 + C|x' - x_0'|t) \le C\delta, \tag{4.7}$$

and, by (4.5),

$$|u^\delta|_{L^\infty(B_1(e_n))} \le C.$$

Here and hereafter, the constant C is independent of δ. Note, in $B_1(e_n)$,

$$\Delta u^\delta - \frac{\partial_i u^\delta \partial_j u^\delta}{1+|Du^\delta|^2}\partial_{ij}u^\delta - \frac{n}{s+1}\big[\partial_n u^\delta - H(x_0' + \delta z', \delta(s+1))\sqrt{1+|Du^\delta|^2}\big] = 0. \tag{4.8}$$

This is a mean curvature type equation. The interior gradient estimate implies

$$|Du^\delta|_{L^\infty(B_{7/8}(e_n))} \le C. \tag{4.9}$$

(See [11] or [13] for details.) Then, (4.8) is uniformly elliptic in $B_{7/8}(e_n)$. We now write (4.8) as

$$\partial_i\left(\frac{\partial_i u^\delta}{\sqrt{1+|Du^\delta|^2}}\right) - \frac{n}{(s+1)\sqrt{1+|Du^\delta|^2}}\partial_n u^\delta + \frac{n}{s+1}H(x_0' + \delta z', \delta(s+1)) = 0.$$

Fixing $1 \le k \le n$ and differentiating with respect to z_k, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_i\left(\left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{\partial_i u^\delta \partial_j u^\delta}{\sqrt{1+|Du^\delta|^2}}\right)\partial_j(\partial_k u^\delta)\right) - \frac{n}{(s+1)\sqrt{1+|Du^\delta|^2}}\partial_n(\partial_k u^\delta)\\ + \frac{n\partial_i u^\delta \partial_n u^\delta}{(s+1)(1+|Du^\delta|^2)^{3/2}}\partial_i(\partial_k u^\delta) + \frac{n}{s+1}\partial_k H = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\partial_k u^\delta$ is a solution of some uniformly elliptic linear equation of divergence form in $B_{7/8}(e_n)$, with bounded coefficients and bounded nonhomogenuous term by (4.9). Therefore, by interior estimates due to de Giorgi and Moser as in [11], for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$,

$$|Du^\delta|_{C^\alpha(B_{3/4}(e_n))} \le C, \tag{4.10}$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, $|\varphi|_{C^2}$, $|H|_{C^1}$ and $|Du^\delta|_{L^\infty(B_{7/8}(e_n))}$, independent of δ. We can now apply interior $C^{1,\alpha}$-estimates to (4.8) and obtain (4.10) for any $\alpha \in (0,1)$.

A simple calculation yields, for $a \ne n$,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_a \widetilde{v}^\delta &= \partial_a u^\delta - \partial_a \varphi(x'),\\ \partial_n \widetilde{v}^\delta &= \partial_n u^\delta - c_1(x_0'),\\ \partial_{ij}\widetilde{v}^\delta &= \partial_{ij}u^\delta - \delta\partial_{ij}\varphi(x').\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

We now substitute (4.11) in (4.8). First, we consider

$$\partial_n u^\delta - H(x_0' + \delta z', \delta(s+1))\sqrt{1+|Du^\delta|^2} = \partial_n \widetilde{v}^\delta + D_1 + D_2 + H(x_0', 0)D_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 &= c_1(x_0') - H(x_0', 0)\sqrt{1+|\partial_n u^\delta|^2 + |D_{x'}\widetilde{v}^\delta|^2 + |D_{x'}\varphi|^2(x_0') + 2D_{x'}\widetilde{v}^\delta D_{x'}\varphi(x_0')},\\
D_2 &= \big(H(x_0', 0) - H(x_0' + \delta z', \delta(s+1))\big)\sqrt{1+|Du^\delta|^2},\\
D_3 &= \sqrt{1+|\partial_n u^\delta|^2 + |D_{x'}\widetilde{v}^\delta|^2 + |D_{x'}\varphi|^2(x_0') + 2D_{x'}\widetilde{v}^\delta D_{x'}\varphi(x_0')}\\
&\quad - \sqrt{1+|\partial_n u^\delta|^2 + |D_{x'}\widetilde{v}^\delta|^2 + |D_{x'}\varphi|^2(x') + 2D_{x'}\widetilde{v}^\delta D_{x'}\varphi(x')}.
\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$h = (\partial_n \widetilde{v}^\delta)^2 + 2\partial_n \widetilde{v}^\delta c_1(x_0') + |D_{x'}\widetilde{v}^\delta|^2 + 2D_{x'}\widetilde{v}^\delta D_{x'}\varphi(x_0'),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
h_a &= -\frac{\partial_a \widetilde{v} + 2\partial_a\varphi(x_0')}{2(1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2(x_0') + c_1^2(x_0'))},\\
h_n &= -\frac{\partial_n \widetilde{v} + 2c_1(x_0')}{2(1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2(x_0') + c_1^2(x_0'))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 &= c_1(x_0')\bigg(1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{h}{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2(x_0') + c_1^2(x_0')}}\bigg)\\
&= c_1(x_0')\int_0^1 \bigg[1 + \frac{sh}{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2(x_0') + c_1^2(x_0')}\bigg]^{-1/2} ds \cdot h_i \partial_i \widetilde{v}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note r is small and, by (4.11) and (4.9),

$$\begin{aligned}
1 + \frac{sh}{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2(x_0') + c_1^2(x_0')} &= (1-s) + s\Big(1 + \frac{h}{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2(x_0') + c_1^2(x_0')}\Big)\\
&\geq (1-s) + s\frac{1+|Du^\delta(x',t)|^2 - C|x'-x_0'|}{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2(x_0') + c_1^2(x_0')},
\end{aligned}$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on $|Du^\delta|_{L^\infty(B_{7/8}(e_n))}$, $|\varphi|_{C^2}$, $|H|_{C^1}$ and n. By (4.10), (4.11) and (4.9), we obtain

$$c_1(x_0')\int_0^1 \big[1 + \frac{sh}{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2(x_0') + c_1^2(x_0')}\big]^{-1/2} ds \cdot h_i \in C^\alpha(B_{3/4}(e_n)),$$

and

$$|D_2| \leq C\delta, \quad |D_3| \leq C|x' - x_0'| \leq C\delta.$$

Hence, (4.2) has the form

$$A_{ij}(Du^\delta)\partial_{ij}\widetilde{v}^\delta + b_i^\delta(z', s)\partial_i \widetilde{v}^\delta + \delta f^\delta(z', s) = 0 \quad \text{in } B_{3/4}(e_n),$$

where (A_{ij}) is uniformly elliptic by (4.9) and b_i^δ and f^δ are bounded by constants depending only on n, $|\varphi|_{C^2}$, $|u|_{L^\infty}$ and $|H|_{C^1}$. By (4.7), (4.10) and the interior $C^{1,\beta}$-estimates, we get, for any $\beta \in (0,1)$,

$$|\widetilde{v}^\delta|_{C^{1,\beta}(B_{1/2}(e_n))} \le C(|\widetilde{v}^\delta|_{L^\infty(B_{3/4}(e_n))} + \delta |f^\delta|_{L^\infty(B_{3/4}(e_n))}) \le C\delta,$$

where C is positive constant independent of δ. Scaling back, we get for $i = 1, \cdots, n$,

$$\left| \frac{D\widetilde{v}}{t}(x_0', t_0) \right| = \left| \frac{D\widetilde{v}^\delta}{\delta(s+1)}(e_n) \right| \le C.$$

Hence, for any $t \in (0, r)$,

$$|D\widetilde{v}(x_0', t)| \le Ct,$$

and

$$[\partial_i \widetilde{v}]_{C^\beta(B_{t/4}(x_0', t))} \le C,$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, $|u|_{L^\infty}$, $|\varphi|_{C^2}$ and $|H|_{C^1}$.

Recall that $\widetilde{v}$ is defined in (4.6). Next, we claim, for any $\beta \in (0,1)$,

$$\widetilde{v} \in C^{1,\beta}(\bar{B}_r' \times [0, r]).$$

Take an arbitrarily fixed $(x_1', t) \in B_r' \times (0, r)$ and set

$$\widehat{v}(x', t) = u(x', t) - \varphi(x') - c_1(x_1')t.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_a \widehat{v}(x', t) &= \partial_a \widetilde{v}(x', t), \\ \partial_n \widehat{v}(x', t) &= \partial_n \widetilde{v}(x', t) + c_1(x_0') - c_1(x_1'). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $i = 1, \cdots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_i \widetilde{v}]_{C^\beta(B_{t/4}(x_1', t))} = [\partial_i \widehat{v}]_{C^\beta(B_{t/4}(x_1', t))} &\le C, \\ |\partial_a \widetilde{v}(x_1', t)| = |\partial_a \widehat{v}(x_1', t)| &\le Ct, \\ |\partial_n \widetilde{v}(x_1', t) - (c_1(x_1') - c_1(x_0'))| = |\partial_n \widehat{v}(x_1', t)| &\le Ct, \end{aligned}$$

where C is independent of x_1'. Since the choice of x_1' is arbitrary, we have, for any $(x', t) \in B_r' \times (0, r)$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_i \widetilde{v}]_{C^\beta(B_{t/4}(x', t))} &\le C, \\ |\partial_a \widetilde{v}(x', t)| &\le Ct, \\ |\partial_n \widetilde{v}(x', t) - (c_1(x') - c_1(x_0'))| &\le Ct. \end{aligned}$$

Note $c_1 - c_1(x_0') \in C^1(B_1')$. Then, the claim holds. Hence, by the definition of $\widetilde{v}$, we have $u \in C^{1,\beta}(\bar{B}_r' \times [0, r])$, for any $\beta \in (0,1)$.

Now we compare Dv and $D\widetilde{v}$. Note

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_a v &= \partial_a \widetilde{v} - \partial_a c_1(x')t, \\ \partial_n v &= \partial_n \widetilde{v} + c_1(x_0') - c_1(x'). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $\varphi \in C^2(B_1')$ and $H \in C^1(\bar{B}_1^+)$, then $v \in C^1(\bar{B}_r' \times [0,r])$; if $\varphi \in C^{2,\alpha}(B_1')$ and $H \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{B}_1^+)$ for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$, then $v \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{B}_r' \times [0,r])$. Moreover,

$$|Dv - D\widetilde{v}| \le Ct + C|x' - x_0'|.$$

Evaluating at $x' = x_0'$, we have $|Dv(x_0', t)| \le Ct$. Note that x_0' is chosen arbitrarily. □

We now discuss higher tangential regularity of v.

Theorem 4.2. *Assume $\varphi \in C^{2,\alpha}(B_1')$ and $H \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{B}_1^+)$, with $|H| < 1$ in $\bar{B}_1^+$, for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Let $u \in C(\bar{B}_1^+) \bigcap C^{2,\alpha}(B_1^+)$ be a solution of (1.1)-(1.2) and v be defined as in (4.1). Then, there exists a constant $r \in (0,1)$ such that*

$$\frac{v}{t^2}, \frac{Dv}{t}, D^2(u-\varphi) \in C^{\alpha}(\bar{B}_r' \times [0,r]), \tag{4.12}$$

and

$$\frac{v}{t}, \frac{v^2}{t^3}, \frac{vv_t}{t^2}, \frac{v_t^2}{t} \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{B}_r' \times [0,r]). \tag{4.13}$$

Proof. Note that (4.13) follows from (4.12) easily. Take any $x_0' \in B_r'$, for some small r, and consider in $B_r'(x_0') \times (0,r)$. Set

$$\widetilde{v}(x',t) = u(x',t) - \varphi(x') - c_1(x_0')t - D_{x'}c_1(x_0')(x' - x_0')t, \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$h(x') = c_1(x') - c_1(x_0') - D_{x'}c_1(x_0')(x' - x_0'). \tag{4.15}$$

Then, for $a, b \ne n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_n \widetilde{v} &= \partial_n u - c_1(x') + h(x'), \\ \partial_a \widetilde{v} &= \partial_a u - \partial_a \varphi - \partial_a c_1(x_0')t, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{ab}\widetilde{v} &= \partial_{ab}u - \partial_{ab}\varphi, \\ \partial_{an}\widetilde{v} &= \partial_{an}u - \partial_a c_1(x_0'), \\ \partial_{nn}\widetilde{v} &= \partial_{nn}u. \end{aligned}$$

By a simple substitution in (4.2) and a straightforward calculation, we have

$$A_{ij}\partial_{ij}\widetilde{v} + \frac{b_i}{t}\partial_i \widetilde{v} + f + \widetilde{h} = 0, \tag{4.16}$$

where, for $a \ne n$,

$$\begin{aligned} b_a &= ng\big[\partial_a \widetilde{v} + 2(\partial_a \varphi + \partial_a c_1(x_0')t)\big], \\ b_n &= -n + ng\big[\partial_n \widetilde{v} + 2(c_1(x') - h(x'))\big], \\ f &= A_{ab}\partial_{ab}\varphi + 2A_{an}\partial_a c_1(x_0') + ng\big[|D_{x'}c_1|^2(x_0') \cdot t + 2D_{x'}\varphi(x')D_{x'}c_1(x_0')\big] \\ &\quad + \frac{n}{t}(H - H_0)\sqrt{1 + [\partial_n \widetilde{v} + c_1(x') - h(x')]^2 + |\nabla_{x'}(\widetilde{v} + \varphi) + \nabla_{x'}c_1(x_0')t|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\widetilde{h} = \frac{n}{t} h(x') \widehat{h},$$
$$\widehat{h} = 1 + g(h(x') - 2c_1(x')),$$

with

$$g = c_1(x') \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2[1 + |D_{x'}\varphi|^2 + c_1^2(x')]\sqrt{1 + sw[1 + |D_{x'}\varphi|^2 + c_1^2(x')]^{-1}}} ds,$$
$$w = \big[|D_{x'} c_1|^2(x_0') \cdot t + 2 D_{x'}\varphi(x') D_{x'} c_1(x_0')\big] t + h(x')[h(x') - 2c_1(x')]$$
$$+ \sum_a \partial_a \widetilde{v} \big[\partial_a \widetilde{v} + 2(\partial_a \varphi + \partial_a c_1(x_0') t)\big] + \partial_n \widetilde{v} \big[\partial_n \widetilde{v} + 2(c_1(x') - h(x'))\big].$$

The definition of h in (4.15) implies $h \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{B}'_{1/2})$ and

$$|h(x')| \le C|x' - x_0'|^{1+\alpha}.$$

By Lemma 4.1, we have $A_{ij}, b_i, f, \widehat{h} \in C^\alpha(\bar{B}'_{r_0} \times [0, r_0])$, for some small r_0. Here we point out $\frac{n}{t}(H - H_0) \in C^\alpha(\bar{B}'_{r_0} \times [0, r_0])$ can be easily derived from $H \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{B}_1^+)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |b_n(x', 0) - b_n(x_0', 0)| &\le C|x' - x_0'|^\alpha, \\ |\widetilde{h}(x', t)| &\le \frac{C}{t}|x' - x_0'|^{1+\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

By Lemma 4.1, $Dv = 0$ on $\{t = 0\}$. Hence, with (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{nn}(x', 0) &= 1 - H_0^2(x'), \\ b_n(x_0', 0) &= -n(1 - H_0^2(x_0')). \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Then, for sufficiently small constants r and ε_0, we have, in $B'_r \times (0, r)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \alpha) A_{nn} + b_n &\le -b_0, \\ 3A_{nn} + b_n \left(1 + \frac{1 - \varepsilon_0}{1 + \alpha}\right) &\le -b_0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

for some positive constant b_0.

We claim, for some $c_2 \in C^\alpha(\bar{B}'_r)$ and any $(x', t) \in B'_r \times (0, r)$,

$$|v(x', t) - c_2(x') t^2| \le C t^{2+\alpha}. \tag{4.20}$$

The expression of c_2 will be given in the proof below. We point out that since c_2 is only C^α, we cannot differentiate c_2. Set

$$L(w) = A_{ij} \partial_{ij} w + \frac{b_i}{t} \partial_i w,$$
$$Q(w) = L(w) + f + \widetilde{h},$$

where A_{ij}, b_i, f and $\widetilde{h}$ are evaluated at x and Dv. By (4.16), we have $Q(\widetilde{v}) = 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume $x_0' = 0$. Set

$$\psi = \mu_1 t (\mu_3 |x'|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} + \mu_2 t^{2+\alpha},$$

and

$$\overline{v} = c_2(0)t^2 + \psi,$$

where μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 and $c_2(0)$ are constants to be determined. We will choose μ_1 and μ_2 large and μ_3 small. Then,

$$Q(\overline{v}) = L(\psi) + f + \widetilde{h} + 2(A_{nn} + b_n)c_2(0). \tag{4.21}$$

Set

$$c_2(0) = -\frac{f}{2(A_{nn} + b_n)}(0). \tag{4.22}$$

A straightforward calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} L(\psi) = \mu_1 t(\mu_3|x'|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}(\alpha+1)\bigg[&3A_{nn} + b_n\bigg(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha+1}\frac{\mu_3|x'|^2 + t^2}{t^2}\bigg) \\ &+ \mu_3(2A_{an} + b_a)\frac{x_a}{t} + \mu_3\delta_{ab} + \frac{\alpha-1}{\mu_3|x'|^2 + t^2}[A_{nn}t^2 + 2\mu_3 A_{an}x_a t + \mu_3^2 A_{ab}x_a x_b]\bigg] \\ &+ \mu_2 t^\alpha[(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)A_{nn} + (\alpha+2)b_n]. \end{aligned}$$

In the above expression, we write

$$\frac{1}{\alpha+1} = \frac{1-\varepsilon_0}{\alpha+1} + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\alpha+1},$$

for some ε_0 in (4.19). Note that

$$|A_{nn}(x', t)| \leq 1,$$

and all A_{ij} and b_i are bounded. By (4.17), (4.18), (4.19) and the Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(\psi) \leq \mu_1 t(\mu_3|x'|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}(\alpha+1)\bigg[&-b_0 + C\sqrt{\mu_3} + \frac{(1-\alpha)t^2}{\mu_3|x'|^2 + t^2}\bigg] \\ &- b_0\mu_2 t^\alpha - a_0\mu_1\frac{1}{t}(\mu_3|x'|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where a_0 is a positive constant independent of μ_3. We first fix μ_3 such that $C\sqrt{\mu_3} \leq b_0/4$. Next, take $M > 0$ to be determined. For any $(x', t) \in B'_r \times (0, r)$ with $|x'| \geq Mt$, we have

$$L(\psi) \leq \mu_1 t(\mu_3|x'|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}(\alpha+1)\bigg[-\frac{3}{4}b_0 + \frac{1-\alpha}{\mu_3 M^2 + 1}\bigg] - \frac{1}{t}a_0\mu_1(\mu_3|x'|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}.$$

Hence, for M sufficiently large, we have, for any $(x', t) \in B'_r \times (0, r)$ with $|x'| \geq Mt$,

$$L(\psi) \leq -\frac{b_0}{2}\mu_1 t(\mu_3|x'|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}(\alpha+1) - \frac{1}{t}a_0\mu_1\mu_3^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}(|x'|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}. \tag{4.23}$$

On the other hand, we have, for any $(x', t) \in B'_r \times (0, r)$ with $|x'| \leq Mt$,

$$L(\psi) \leq \mu_1 t^\alpha(\mu_3 M^2 + 1)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}(\alpha+1)(1-\alpha) - b_0\mu_2 t^\alpha - \frac{1}{t}a_0\mu_1(\mu_3|x'|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}},$$

Hence, for each μ_1, we can choose μ_2 as a big multiple of μ_1 and obtain, for any $(x',t) \in B'_r \times (0,r)$ with $|x'| \le Mt$,

$$L(\psi) \le -Bb_0\mu_1(|x'|^2+t^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} - \frac{1}{t}a_0\mu_1\mu_3^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}(|x'|^2+t^2)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}, \tag{4.24}$$

for some positive constant B. By combining (4.23) and (4.24), we obtain

$$L(\psi) \le -C_*\mu_1 t(|x'|^2+t^2)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} - \frac{1}{t}a_0\mu_1\mu_3^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}(|x'|^2+t^2)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}, \tag{4.25}$$

and, with (4.21) and (4.22),

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\overline{v}) &\le -C_*\mu_1 t(|x'|^2+t^2)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} - a_0\mu_1\mu_3^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}\frac{(|x'|^2+t^2)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}}{t} + C(|x'|^\alpha + t^\alpha) + C\frac{|x'|^{1+\alpha}}{t} \\ &\le 0, \end{aligned}$$

by choosing $\mu_1 \ge C/(a_0\mu_3^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}})$. Next, note

$$\widetilde{v} - c_2(0)t^2 \le v + C|x'|^{\alpha+1}t + |c_2(0)|t^2 \le Ct^2 + C|x'|^{\alpha+1}t.$$

In order to have $\widetilde{v} \le \overline{v}$ on $\partial(B'_r \times (0,r))$, we take

$$\mu_1 \ge \left(C + \frac{C}{r^\alpha}\right)\mu_3^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}.$$

In summary, we have $Q(\overline{v}) \le Q(\widetilde{v})$ in $B'_r \times (0,r)$ and $\widetilde{v} \le \overline{v}$ on $\partial(B'_r \times (0,r))$. By the maximum principle, we get $\widetilde{v} \le \overline{v}$ in $B'_r \times (0,r)$, and hence

$$\widetilde{v} \le c_2(0)t^2 + \psi \quad \text{in } B'_r \times (0,r).$$

Similarly, we have

$$\widetilde{v} \ge c_2(0)t^2 - \psi \quad \text{in } B'_r \times (0,r).$$

By taking $x' = 0$, we have (4.20) for $x' = 0$. We can prove (4.20) for any $(x',t) \in B'_r \times (0,r)$ by a similar method. Instead of (4.22), we set

$$c_2(x') = -\frac{f}{2(A_{nn}+b_n)}(x',0).$$

Note $c_2 \in C^\alpha(B'_r)$.

With (4.20), we will prove (4.12). We take any $(x'_0,t_0) \in B'_{r/2} \times (0,r/2)$ and set $t_0 = 2\delta$. With $e_n = (0,1)$, consider the transform $T : B_1(e_n) \to B'_r \times (0,r)$ given by

$$x' = x'_0 + \delta z', \quad t = \delta(s+1).$$

Set

$$\widetilde{v}^\delta(z',s) = \delta^{-2}\big[u(x',t) - \varphi(x') - c_1(x'_0)t - D_{x'}c_1(x'_0)(x'-x'_0)t - c_2(x'_0)t^2\big].$$

Then, by (4.20) and (4.16),

$$|\widetilde{v}^\delta|_{L^\infty(\bar{B}_1(e_n))} \le \delta^{-2}C\big[t^{2+\alpha} + |x'-x'_0|^{1+\alpha}t + |x'-x'_0|^\alpha t^2\big] \le C\delta^\alpha, \tag{4.26}$$

and

$$A_{ij}\partial_{ij}\widetilde{v}^{\delta}+\frac{b_i}{s+1}\partial_i\widetilde{v}^{\delta}+g(x_0'+\delta z',\delta(s+1))+\widetilde{h}=0, \tag{4.27}$$

where

$$g=f+2(A_{nn}+b_n)c_2(x_0').$$

Note

$$|g(x_0'+\delta z',\delta(s+1))|_{C^{\alpha}(\bar{B}_1(e_n))}\le C\delta^{\alpha},$$

and by (4.17),

$$|\widetilde{h}|_{L^{\infty}(\bar{B}_1(e_n))}\le C\delta^{\alpha},$$

Since $h\in C^{1,\alpha}$, we can check easily

$$\left[\frac{h}{t}\right]_{C^{\alpha}(\bar{B}_1(e_n))}\le C\delta^{\alpha},\quad [\widetilde{h}]_{C^{\alpha}(\bar{B}_1(e_n))}\le C\delta^{\alpha}. \tag{4.28}$$

By the Schauder estimate, we get $\widetilde{v}^{\delta}\in C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{B}_{1/2}(e_n))$ and

$$\begin{aligned}|\widetilde{v}^{\delta}|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{B}_{1/2}(e_n))}&\le C\left\{|\widetilde{v}^{\delta}|_{L^{\infty}(\bar{B}_1(e_n))}+|g(x_0'+\delta z',\delta(s+1))|_{C^{\alpha}(\bar{B}_1(e_n))}+|\widetilde{h}|_{C^{\alpha}(\bar{B}_1(e_n))}\right\}\\&\le C\delta^{\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

Now we can scale back and note that $x_0'\in B'_{r/2}$ is arbitrary. By (4.28) and the definition of h, we obtain, for any $(x',t)\in B'_{r/2}\times(0,r/2)$,

$$D^2(u-\varphi),\frac{1}{t}D\big(u-\varphi-c_1(x')t\big),\frac{1}{t^2}\big(u-\varphi-c_1(x')t\big)\in C^{\alpha}(B_{t/4}(x',t)),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&|\partial_{nn}(u-\varphi)-2c_2(x')|+|\partial_{ab}(u-\varphi)|+|\partial_{an}(u-\varphi)-\partial_a c_1(x')|\le Ct^{\alpha},\\&\left|\frac{1}{t}\partial_a\big(u-\varphi-c_1(x')t\big)\right|+\left|\frac{1}{t}\partial_n\big(u-\varphi-c_1(x')t\big)-2c_2(x')\right|\le Ct^{\alpha},\\&\left|\frac{1}{t^2}\big(u-\varphi-c_1(x')t-c_2(x')t^2\big)\right|\le Ct^{\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get (4.12). □

We now prove a general result.

Theorem 4.3. *Assume $\varphi\in C^{l,\alpha}(B_1')$ and $H\in C^{l-1,\alpha}(\bar{B}_1^+)$, with $|H|<1$ in $\bar{B}_1^+$, for some $l\ge 3$ and $\alpha\in(0,1)$. Let $u\in C(\bar{B}_1^+)\bigcap C^{l,\alpha}(B_1^+)$ be a solution of (1.1) and (1.2) and v be defined as in (4.1). Then, there exists a constant $r\in(0,1)$ such that, for $j=0,1,\cdots,l-2$,*

$$\frac{D_{x'}^j v}{t^2},\frac{D_{x'}^j Dv}{t},D_{x'}^j D^2(u-\varphi)\in C^{\alpha}(\bar{B}_r'\times[0,r]), \tag{4.29}$$

and

$$\frac{D^j_{x'}v}{t}, \frac{D^j_{x'}(v^2)}{t^3}, \frac{D^j_{x'}(vv_t)}{t^2}, \frac{D^j_{x'}(v_t^2)}{t} \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{B}'_r \times [0,r]). \tag{4.30}$$

Proof. Note that (4.30) follows from (4.29) easily and we only need to prove (4.29). For some small r, we set, for any $x'_0 \in B'_r$,

$$\widetilde{v} = u(x',t) - \varphi(x') - c_1(x'_0)t - \sum_{|\beta|=1}^{l-1} \frac{1}{\beta!}\partial^\beta_{x'} c_1(x'_0)(x'-x'_0)^\beta t.$$

Similarly as for (4.16), we can derive the equation for $\widetilde{v}$

$$A_{ij}\partial_{ij}\widetilde{v} + \frac{b_i}{t}\partial_i\widetilde{v} + f + \widetilde{h} = 0. \tag{4.31}$$

We will prove (4.29) by an induction on l. The case $l = 2$ follows from (4.12). For $l \geq 3$, we fix an integer $1 \leq k \leq l-2$ and assume (4.29) holds for $j = 0, 1, \cdots, k-1$. Then, consider the case $j = k$. By differentiating (4.31) by $D^k_{x'}$, we have

$$A_{ij}D^k_{x'}\partial_{ij}\widetilde{v} + \frac{b_i}{t}D^k_{x'}\partial_i\widetilde{v} + f + \widetilde{h} = 0,$$

with $A_{ij}, b_i, f, \widetilde{h}$ satisfying the same properties needed in Lemma 4.2. We claim, for some $c_{k,2} \in C^\alpha(\bar{B}'_r)$ and any $(x',t) \in B'_r \times (0,r)$,

$$|D^k_{x'}v(x',t) - c_{k,2}(x')t^2| \leq Ct^{2+\alpha}.$$

The proof is similar as that of (4.20) and is omitted. Then, (4.29) for $j = k$ follows similarly. □

We point out that Tonegawa [29] already proved the tangential regularity of v. The present form is used in the expansions to be discussed in the next section.

5. Regularity Along The Normal Direction

In this section, we discuss the regularity along the normal direction.

First, with Theorem 4.3, we rewrite (4.2) as

$$A_{nn}(Du)\partial_{nn}v + 2A_{an}(Du)\partial_{an}u + A_{ab}(Du)\partial_{ab}u - \frac{n}{t}\partial_n v + N = 0 \quad \text{in } B_1^+, \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$N = \frac{n}{t}\big[H\sqrt{1+|D_{x'}u|^2+(\partial_n u)^2} - c_1\big].$$

We write

$$N = N_1 + N_2,$$

where

$$N_1(x',t) = \frac{n}{t}(H - H_0)\sqrt{1+|D_{x'}u|^2+(\partial_n u)^2},$$
$$N_2(x',t) = \frac{n}{t}\big[H_0\sqrt{1+|D_{x'}u|^2+(\partial_n u)^2} - c_1\big].$$

We note, by (2.3),

$$N_2 = \frac{n}{t}c_1\left(\sqrt{1+\frac{h}{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2+c_1^2}}-1\right),$$

where

$$h = |D_{x'}v + D_{x'}c_1 t|^2 + 2D_{x'}\varphi D_{x'}(v+c_1 t) + (\partial_n v)^2 + 2\partial_n v c_1.$$

Hence, we have

$$\frac{h}{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2+c_1^2} = 2h_i\partial_i v + 2h_0 t,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_a &= \frac{2\partial_a\varphi + \partial_a c_1 t + \partial_a v/2}{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2+c_1^2},\\ h_n &= \frac{c_1+\partial_n v/2}{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2+c_1^2},\\ h_0 &= \frac{D_{x'}\varphi\cdot D_{x'}c_1 + |D_{x'}c_1|^2 t/2}{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2+c_1^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can express N_2 by

$$\begin{aligned} N_2 &= \frac{nc_1}{t}\int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds}\Big[1+\frac{sh}{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2+c_1^2}\Big]^{1/2} ds\\ &= \frac{nc_1}{t}\int_0^1 \left[1+\frac{sh}{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2+c_1^2}\right]^{-1/2} ds\cdot(h_i\partial_i v + h_0 t). \end{aligned}$$

With such an N_2, we can write (5.1) as

$$A_{nn}\partial_{nn}v + 2A_{an}\partial_{an}u + A_{ab}\partial_{ab}u + \frac{b_i}{t}\partial_i v + f = 0, \tag{5.2}$$

where A_{ij}, b_i and f are smooth in x, H, $D_{x'}\varphi$,$D_{x'}H$,$\frac{H-H_0}{t}$, $D^2_{x'}\varphi$ and Dv. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{nn} &= \frac{1+|D_{x'}v + D_{x'}\varphi + D_{x'}c_1 t|^2}{1+|D_{x'}v + D_{x'}\varphi + D_{x'}c_1 t|^2 + (\partial_n v + c_1)^2},\\ b_n &= -n + \frac{n(c_1^2 + c_1\partial_n v/2)}{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2+c_1^2}\int_0^1 \left[1+\frac{sh}{1+|D_{x'}\varphi|^2+c_1^2}\right]^{-1/2} ds. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Then,

$$\partial_{nn}v + \frac{b_n}{tA_{nn}}\partial_n v = \frac{1}{A_{nn}}\bigg[-2A_{an}\partial_{an}u - A_{ab}\partial_{ab}u - b_a\frac{\partial_a v}{t} - f\bigg].$$

By (4.4), we have $b_n/A_{nn} = -n$ on $\{t=0\}$. Hence,

$$\partial_{nn}v - \frac{n}{t}\partial_n v = F, \tag{5.4}$$

where

$$F = \frac{1}{A_{nn}}\bigg[-2A_{an}\partial_{an}u - A_{ab}\partial_{ab}u - b_a\frac{\partial_a v}{t} - f\bigg] - \frac{1}{tA_{nn}}(b_n + nA_{nn})\partial_n v.$$

By (4.4), we have $(b_n + nA_{nn})(\cdot, 0) = 0$. In fact, a straightforward calculation, with the help of (5.3), yields

$$b_n + nA_{nn} = h_i \partial_i v + h_0 t,$$

where $h_1, \cdots, h_n$ and h_0 are smooth functions in x, H $D_{x'}\varphi$, $D_{x'}H$, $D^2_{x'}\varphi$ and Dv. Hence,

$$(b_n + nA_{nn})\frac{\partial_n v}{t} = h_a \partial_n v \cdot \frac{\partial_a v}{t} + h_n \cdot \frac{v_t^2}{t} + h_0 \partial_n v,$$

where the summation for a is from 1 to $n-1$. Therefore,

$$F = 2\widetilde{A}_{an}\partial_{an}u + \widetilde{A}_{ab}\partial_{ab}u + \widetilde{b}_a \frac{\partial_a v}{t} + \widetilde{b}_n \frac{v_t^2}{t} + \widetilde{f}, \tag{5.5}$$

where $\widetilde{A}_{an}$, $\widetilde{A}_{ab}$, $\widetilde{b}_a$, $\widetilde{b}_n$ and $\widetilde{f}$ are smooth functions in x, H, $D_{x'}\varphi$,$D_{x'}H$,$\frac{H-H_0}{t}$, $D^2_{x'}\varphi$ and Dv. We note that F is a smooth function in t and

$$v_t, \frac{v_t^2}{t}, D_{x'}v_t, D^2_{x'}u,$$

and that F depends on x' through derivatives of φ and H up to order 2 and 1, respectively. Moreover,

$$F \text{ is linear in } \frac{v_t^2}{t}.$$

Then, with Theorem 4.3, the method for regularity along the normal direction in [14] can be applied to draw the conclusion.

References

[1] M. Anderson, *Complete minimal varieties in hyperbolic space*, Invent. Math., 69(1982), 477-494.

[2] M. Anderson, *Complete minimal hypersurfaces in hyperbolic n-manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv., 58(1983), 264-290.

[3] M. Anderson, *Boundary regularity, uniqueness and non-uniqueness for AH Einstein metrics on 4-manifolds*, Adv. Math., 179(2003), 205-249.

[4] L. Andersson, P. Chruściel, H. Friedrich, *On the regularity of solutions to the Yamabe equation and the existence of smooth hyperboloidal initial data for Einsteins field equations*, Comm. Math. Phys., 149(1992), 587-612.

[5] O. Biquard, M. Herzlich, *Analyse sur un demi-espace hyperbolique et poly-homogeneite locale*, arXiv:1002.4106.

[6] S.-Y. Cheng, S.-T. Yau, *On the existence of a complete Kähler metric on non-compact complex manifolds and the regularity of Fefferman's equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 33(1980), 507-544.

[7] P. Chruściel, E. Delay, J. Lee, D. Skinner, *Boundary regularity of conformally compact Einstein metrics*, J. Diff. Geom., 69(2005), 111-136.

[8] C. Fefferman, *Monge-Ampère equation, the Bergman kernel, and geometry of pseudoconvex domains*, Ann. Math., 103(1976), 395-416.

[9] C. Fefferman, C. R. Graham, *Q-curvature and Poincaré metrics*, Math. Res. Lett., 9(2002), 139-151.

[10] C. Fefferman, C. R. Graham, *The Ambient Metric*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, 178, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2012.

[11] D. Gilbarg, N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Elliptic Type*, Springer, Berlin, 1983.

[12] C. R. Graham, E. Witten, *Conformal anomaly of submanifold observables in AdS/CFT correspondence*, Nuclear Physics B, 546(1999), 52-64.

[13] Q. Han, *Nonlinear Elliptic Equations of the Second Order*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2016.
[14] Q. Han, X. Jiang, *Boundary expansions for minimal graphs in the hyperbolic space*, arXiv:1412.7608.
[15] Q. Han, M. Khuri, *Existence and blow-up behavior for solutions of the generalized Jang equation*, Comm. P.D.E., 38(2013), 2199-2237.
[16] R. Hardt, F.-H. Lin, *Regularity at infinity for area-minimizing hypersurfaces in hyperbolic space*, Invent. Math., 88(1987), 217-224.
[17] D. Helliwell, *Boundary regularity for conformally compact Einstein metrics in even dimensions*, Comm. P.D.E., 33(2008), 842-880.
[18] H. Jian, X.-J. Wang, *Bernstein theorem and regularity for a class of Monge-Ampère equations*, J. Diff. Geom., 93(2013), 431-469.
[19] H. Jian, X.-J. Wang, *Optimal boundary regularity for nonlinear singular elliptic equations*, Adv. Math., 251(2014), 111-126.
[20] J. Lee, R. Melrose, *Boundary behavior of the complex Monge-Ampère equation*, Acta Math., 148(1982), 159-192.
[21] F.-H. Lin, *Asymptotic behavior of area-minimizing currents in hyperbolic space*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 42(1989), 229-242.
[22] F.-H. Lin, *On the Dirichlet problem for minimal graphs in hyperbolic space*, Invent. Math., 96(1989), 593-612.
[23] F.-H. Lin, *Erratum: On the Dirichlet problem for minimal graphs in hyperbolic space*, Invent. Math., 187(2012), 755-757.
[24] C. Loewner, L. Nirenberg, *Partial differential equations invariant under conformal or projective transformations*, Contributions to Analysis, 245-272, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
[25] R. Mazzeo, *The Hodge cohomology of conformally compact metrics*, J. Diff. Geom., 28(1988), 309-339.
[26] R. Mazzeo, *Elliptic theory of differential edge operators I*, Comm. P.D.E., 16(1991), 1615-1664.
[27] R. Mazzeo, *Regularity for the singular Yamabe problem*, Indiana Univ. Math. Journal, 40(1991), 1277-1299.
[28] R. Mazzeo, R. Melrose, *Meromorphic extension of the resolvent on complete spaces with asymptotically negative curvature*, J. Funct. Anal., 75(1987), 260-310.
[29] Y. Tonegawa, *Existence and regularity of constant mean curvature hypersurfaces in hyperbolic space*, Math. Z., 221(1996), 591-615.

Department of Mathematics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556
E-mail address: qhan@nd.edu

Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China
E-mail address: qhan@math.pku.edu.cn

School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China

Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China
E-mail address: 1201110027@pku.edu.cn